arXiv:0801.3850v5 [math.DG] 19 Jun 2009

GRAPHIC BERNSTEIN RESULTS IN CURVED PSEUDO-RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

Guanghan Li[1,2†] and Isabel M.C. Salavessa[2‡]

[1] School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hubei University, Wuhan, 430062, P. R. China, e-mail: liguanghan@163.com

[2] Centro de Física das Interacções Fundamentais, Instituto Superior Técnico, Technical University of Lisbon, Edifício Ciência, Piso 3, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal; e-mail: isabel.salavessa@ist.utl.pt

Abstract

We generalize a Bernstein-type result due to Albujer and Alías, for maximal surfaces in a curved Lorentzian product 3-manifold of the form $\Sigma_1 \times \mathbb{R}$, to higher dimension and codimension. We consider M a complete spacelike graphic submanifold with parallel mean curvature, defined by a map $f : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$ between two Riemannian manifolds (Σ_1^m, g_1) and (Σ_2^n, g_2) of sectional curvatures K_1 and K_2, respectively. We take on $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ the pseudo-Riemannian product metric $g_1 - g_2$. Under the curvature conditions, $\mathrm{Ricci}_1 \geq 0$ and $K_1 \geq K_2$, we prove that, if the second fundamental form of M satisfies an integrability condition, then M is totally geodesic, and it is a slice if $\mathrm{Ricci}_1(p) > 0$ at some point. For bounded K_1, K_2 and hyperbolic angle θ, we conclude M must be maximal. If M is a maximal surface and $K_1 \geq K_2^+$, we show M is totally geodesic with no need for further assumptions. Furthermore, M is a slice if at some point $p \in \Sigma_1$, $K_1(p) > 0$, and if Σ_1 is flat and $K_2 < 0$ at some point $f(p)$, then the image of f lies on a geodesic of Σ_2.

MSC 2000: Primary: 53C21, 53C42, 53C50

Key Words: Bernstein, mean curvature, spacelike, maximum principle.

† Partially supported by NSFC (No.10501011), NSF of Hubei (No. 2008CDB009), and by Fundação Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) through a FCT fellowship SFRH/BPD/26554/2006.

‡ Partially supported by FCT through the Plurianual of CFIF and POCI-PPCDT/MAT/60671/2004.

1 Introduction and statement of main results

The classical Bernstein theorem states that an entire minimal graph in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is a plane. This result has been generalized to graphic hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ for $m \leq 7$, and for higher dimensions and codimensions under various growth conditions. Calabi in [4] introduced a similar problem in Minkowski space. He considered a maximal (that is, with mean curvature $H = 0$) spacelike hypersurface M in the Lorentz-Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}_1$ with metric $ds^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m}(dx_i)^2 - (dx_{m+1})^2$, given by the graph of a function f on $\mathbb{R}^m$ with $|Df| < 1$. In this case the equation for maximality takes the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(\frac{\partial f/\partial x_i}{\sqrt{1-|Df|^2}}\right) = 0.$$

Calabi, for $m \leq 4$, and later Cheng and Yau [5] for any $m \geq 2$, proved that any entire solution to the above equation is linear.

Spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in Lorentzian spaces have been the subject of investigation in general relativity theory (see for example [3]). Contrarily to the Riemannian case, there are entire spacelike graphs with nonzero constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}_1$ (see [11]), as for example the hyperboloids. On the other hand, under some boundedness assumptions or growth conditions on the Gauss map, Bernstein-type results have been obtained for spacelike submanifolds of the pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_n$ with parallel mean curvature ([14, 15, 8]).

A natural generalization is to consider maximal spacelike submanifolds in a non-flat ambient space. Albujer and Alías [1] proved a new Calabi-Bernstein-type result for surfaces immersed into a Lorentzian product 3-manifold of the form $\Sigma_1 \times \mathbb{R}$, where Σ_1 is a Riemannian surface of nonnegative Gauss curvature. In this note, we generalize this result to spacelike graphic submanifolds with parallel mean curvature in a non-flat pseudo-Riemannian product space of any dimension $m+n$, and under less restrictive curvature conditions. Our main tool, as in [1], is the explicit computation of $\Delta \cosh\theta$, where θ is the hyperbolic angle, a quantity that measures how far is M from a slice, and that was introduced by Chern [7] for the Riemannian version of the Bernstein theorem for surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$. This angle plays a similar role to the Gauss map in flat ambient spaces mentioned above. The main difficulty in higher codimension is the definition of the hyperbolic angle itself. This can be done with the help of a suitable parallel form from the ambient space (see section 2), representing a different approach comparing with the case $m = 2$ and $n = 1$, namely on what concerns the computations involving $\cosh\theta$, that are also considerably more complicated when $n \geq 2$, even for $m = 2$.

Let $N = \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ be a pseudo-Riemannian product manifold of two Riemannian manifolds (Σ_i, g_i) with pseudo-Riemannian metric $\bar{g} = g_1 - g_2$. We denote by K_i and Ricci_i the sectional curvatures and the Ricci tensor of each Σ_i, respectively. Assume Σ_1 is oriented and M is a spacelike graphic submanifold $\Gamma_f = \{(p, f(p)) : p \in \Sigma_1\}$, defined by a map $f : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$ with $f^* g_2 < g_1$. Let g be the induced metric on M. The *hyperbolic angle* θ can be defined by

$$\cosh\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g_1 - f^* g_2)}}, \tag{1}$$

where the determinant is taken with respect to g_1. Note that $\|df\|^2$ is bounded. Let B be the second fundamental form of M. We state our first main theorem:

Theorem 1. *Assume M is a complete spacelike graph with parallel mean curvature, and for any $p \in \Sigma_1$, $\mathrm{Ricci}_1(p) \geq 0$ and $K_1(p) \geq K_2(f(p))$. Then we have:*

(i) If $\|df\|\,\|B\|$ is an integrable function on M (and this is the case M compact), then M is totally geodesic. Moreover, if $\mathrm{Ricci}_1(p) > 0$ at some point, then M is a slice, that is, f is constant.

(ii) If K_1, K_2 and $\cosh\theta$ are bounded, then M is maximal. Furthermore, if M is non-compact, and for p away from a compact set, $K_1(p) - K_2(f(p)) \geq \varepsilon$ ($\mathrm{Ricci}_1(p) \geq \varepsilon$, respectively), where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a constant, then f cannot have rank greater or equal to two (one, respectively) at infinity.

In case Σ_2 is one-dimensional, in next proposition we replace the boundedness condition on $\cosh\theta$ and K_1 given in theorem $1(ii)$ by weaker conditions, and obtain the same result, by recalling an isoperimetric inequality in [10]:

Proposition 2. *If Σ_2 is one dimensional, Σ_1 is complete, $\mathrm{Ricci}_1 \geq 0$, and $\cosh\theta = o(r)$ when $r \to +\infty$, where r is the distance function to a point of Σ_1, then M is maximal.*

For M a Riemannian surface, using parabolicity arguments we obtain:

Theorem 3. *If M is a complete maximal spacelike graphic surface, and for each $p \in \Sigma_1$, $K_1(p) \geq \max\{0, K_2(f(p))\}$, then M is totally geodesic. Furthermore:*

(i) If $K_1(p) > 0$ at some point $p \in M$, then M is a slice.

(ii) ([4] if $n = 1$, [8]) If $\Sigma_1 = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\Sigma_2 = \mathbb{R}^n$, then M is a plane.

(iii) If Σ_1 is flat and $K_2 < 0$ at some point $f(p)$, then either M is a slice or the image of f is a geodesic of Σ_2.

Our proof in (ii) gives partially a simpler proof of the same result of Jost and Xin in [8] for the case of surfaces. As a consequence of theorem 3, if $\Sigma_2 = \mathbb{R}$ we have:

Corollary 4 ([1])**.** *Let M be a complete maximal spacelike surface of $N = \Sigma_1 \times \mathbb{R}$, with pseudo-Riemannian product metric $g_1 - dt^2$, and assume M can be written as the graph of a smooth map $f : \Sigma_1 \to \mathbb{R}$. If $K_1 \geq 0$ then M is totally geodesic. Moreover, if $K_1 > 0$ at some point of Σ_1, then M is a slice.*

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall some preliminaries of spacelike submanifolds in pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, and compute the Laplacian of $\cosh\theta$. The proofs of theorem 1 and proposition 2 are given in section 3. In section 4, we discuss the surface case and prove Theorem 3.

2 Spacelike submanifolds in pseudo-Riemannian products

Let N be a $(m+n)$-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold with non-degenerate metric $\bar{g}$ of index n, and $F : M \to N$ a m-dimensional spacelike submanifold immersed into N. We denote by $\overline{\nabla}$, ∇ and $\nabla^\perp$ the connections on N, M, and the normal bundle NM, respectively, and by B the second fundamental form of M. We convention the sign of the curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ of N is defined by $\bar{R}(X,Y) = [\overline{\nabla}_X, \overline{\nabla}_Y] - \overline{\nabla}_{[X,Y]}$ and $\bar{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = \bar{g}(\bar{R}(Z,W)Y,X)$. We make use of the indices range, $i,j,k,\cdots, = 1,2,\cdots,m$, $\alpha,\beta,\cdots, = m+1,\cdots,m+n$, and $a,b,c,\cdots, = 1,2,\cdots,m+n$, and choose orthonormal frame fields $\{e_1,\cdots,e_{m+n}\}$ of N, such that restricting to M, $\{e_1,\cdots,e_m\}$ is a tangent frame (of spacelike vectors), and $\{e_{m+1},\cdots,e_{m+n}\}$ a normal frame (of timelike vectors). Let h^α_{ij} be the components of the second fundamental form, $B(e_i,e_j) = h^\alpha_{ij}e_\alpha$, and $\bar{R}^a_{bcd}$, R^i_{jkl}, $R^\alpha_{\beta kl}$, the components of the curvature tensors of N, M and NM, respectively. Using the structure equations of N, we derive (see e.g. [5, 8] for the case $N = \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$)

$$R^i_{jkl} = \bar{R}^i_{jkl} - \sum_\alpha (h^\alpha_{ik}h^\alpha_{jl} - h^\alpha_{il}h^\alpha_{jk}) \quad \text{(Gauss equation)} \tag{2}$$

$$R^\alpha_{\beta kl} = \bar{R}^\alpha_{\beta kl} - \sum_i (h^\alpha_{ki}h^\beta_{li} - h^\alpha_{li}h^\beta_{ki}), \quad \text{(Ricci equation)}$$

$$h^\alpha_{ij,k} - h^\alpha_{ik,j} = -\bar{R}^\alpha_{ijk} = \bar{R}_{\alpha ijk}. \qquad \text{(Codazzi equation)} \tag{3}$$

The mean curvature of F is denoted by $H = trace_g B = H^\alpha e_\alpha$, $H^\alpha = \sum_i h^\alpha_{ii}$. Let Ω be a parallel m-form on N. Similarly to [12], we compute the Laplacian of the

pull back $F^*\Omega$, $\Delta F^*\Omega = \sum_k \nabla_k \nabla_k F^*\Omega - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k} F^*\Omega$.

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_k F^*\Omega)(e_1,\cdots,e_m) &= \sum_i \Omega(e_1,\ldots,(\overline{\nabla}_k e_i - \nabla_k e_i),\ldots,e_m)\\ &= \sum_i \Omega(e_1,\ldots,B(e_k,e_i),\ldots,e_m). \qquad (4)\end{aligned}$$

Set $u = u^\top + u^\perp$, with $u^\top \in TM$ and $u^\perp \in NM$. Differentiating (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta F^*\Omega(e_1,\cdots,e_m) = \quad & \sum_{ik} \Omega(e_1,\ldots,\nabla^\perp_{e_k} B(e_k,e_i) + (\overline{\nabla}_{e_k} B(e_k,e_i))^\top,\ldots,e_m)\\ & + \sum_k \sum_{j<i} \Omega(e_1,\ldots,B(e_k,e_j),\ldots,B(e_k,e_i),\ldots,e_m)\\ & + \sum_k \sum_{j>i} \Omega(e_1,\ldots,B(e_k,e_i),\ldots,B(e_k,e_j),\ldots,e_m).\end{aligned}$$

Using (3), $\sum_k \nabla^\perp_{e_k} B(e_k,e_i) = \nabla^\perp_{e_i} H + (\bar{R}(e_k,e_i)e_k)^\perp$, and that

$$\sum_{ik} g((\overline{\nabla} e_k B(e_k,e_i))^\top, e_i) = \sum_{ik} -\bar{g}(B(e_k,e_i),B(e_k,e_i)) = \|B\|^2,$$

we get in components

$$(\Delta F^*\Omega)_{1\cdots m} = \Omega_{1\cdots m}||B||^2 + 2\sum_{\alpha<\beta, i<j} \Omega_{\alpha\beta ij}\hat{R}^\alpha_{\beta ij} + \sum_{\alpha,i} \Omega_{\alpha i} H^\alpha_{,i} - \sum_{\alpha,i,k} \Omega_{\alpha i}\bar{R}^\alpha_{kik}, \qquad (5)$$

where $\hat{R}^\alpha_{\beta ij} = \sum_k h^\alpha_{ik}h^\beta_{jk} - h^\beta_{ik}h^\alpha_{jk}$, and $\Omega_{\alpha\beta ij} = \Omega(e_1,\cdots,e_\alpha,\cdots,e_\beta,\cdots,e_m)$ with e_α, e_β occupying the i-th and the j-th positions. The same meaning is for $\Omega_{\alpha i}$. Here, $||\cdot||$ denotes the absolute of the norm of a timelike vector.

Next we consider the pseudo-Riemannian product manifold $N = \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$, with the pseudo-Riemannian metric $\bar{g} = g_1 - g_2$, where (Σ_i, g_i) are two Riemannian manifolds of dimension m and n, respectively. Let $\pi_i : N \to \Sigma_i$ denote the corresponding projections.

Suppose M is a spacelike graph of a map $f : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$. For any $p \in \Sigma_1$, we consider $\lambda_1^2 \geq \lambda_2^2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_m^2 \geq 0$ the eigenvalues of $f^* g_2$. The spacelike condition on M means $\lambda_i^2 < 1$. By the Weyl's perturbation theorem [13], ordering the eigenvalues in this way, each $\lambda_i^2 : \Sigma_1 \to [0,1)$ is a continuous locally Lipschitz function. For each p, let $s = s(p) \in \{1\ldots,m\}$ be the rank of f at p, that is, $\lambda_s^2 > 0$ and $\lambda_{s+1}^2 = \ldots = \lambda_m^2 = 0$. Then $s \leq \min\{m,n\}$. We say that *f has rank $\geq s$ at infinity,* if there is a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\lambda_s^2 \geq \varepsilon$, away from a compact set K.

We take an orthonormal basis $\{a_i\}_{i=1,\cdots,m}$ of $T_p\Sigma_1$ of eigenvectors of f^*g_2 with corresponding eigenvalues λ_i^2. Set $a_{i+m} = df(a_i)/|df(a_i)|$ for $i \leq s$. This constitutes an orthonormal system in $T_{f(p)}\Sigma_2$, that we complete to give an orthonormal basis $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha=m+1,\cdots,m+n}$ for $T_{f(p)}\Sigma_2$. Moreover, changing signs of the λ_i if necessary, we can write $df(a_i) = -\lambda_{i\alpha}a_\alpha$, where $\lambda_{i\alpha} = \delta_{\alpha,m+i}\lambda_i$ meaning $= 0$ if $i > s$ or $\alpha > m+s$. Therefore

$$e_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sum_\beta \lambda_{i\beta}^2}}(a_i + \sum_\beta \lambda_{i\beta}a_\beta), \quad i = 1,\cdots,m \tag{6}$$

$$e_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sum_j \lambda_{j\alpha}^2}}(a_\alpha + \sum_j \lambda_{j\alpha}a_j), \quad \alpha = m+1,\cdots,m+n \tag{7}$$

form orthonormal basis of T_pM and N_pM, respectively. We may assume e_i to be positively oriented. We also identify $M = \Gamma_f$ with Σ_1 with the graph metric $g = g_1 - f^*g_2$, and consider λ_i as functions on the variable $x = (p, f(p)) \in M$ identified with the variable $p \in \Sigma_1$, through the diffeomorphism $\pi_{1|_M} : M \to \Sigma_1$. Let Ω be the volume form of (Σ_1, g_1), which is a parallel m-form on N, and Ω' the one of (Σ_1, g). The ratio Ω/Ω' is given by

$$\Omega_{1\cdots m} = *(\pi_{1|_M})^*\Omega = \pi_1^*\Omega(e_1,\ldots,e_m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^m(1-\lambda_i^2)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{det(g_1 - f^*g_2)}},$$

where $*$ is the star operator on M. This quantity is ≥ 1 and is $\cosh\theta$ defined in (1). Then, $\cosh\theta$ is identically equal to 1 if and only if f is a constant map, that is, M is a slice. Furthermore, if $\cosh\theta$ is bounded, what means $\lambda_i^2 \leq 1-\delta$, where $\delta > 0$ is a constant, then $g_1 \geq g \geq \delta g_1$. In this case, Σ_1 is complete (compact) if and only if M is so. The singular values λ_i of f are constant maps if F is a totally geodesic immersion. To see this, we first note that F is totally geodesic if and only if $f : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$ is a totally geodesic map (a proof of this is similar to the Riemannian case [9], remark 2). Parallel transport of a_i along geodesics of Σ_1 starting from p, shows that $f^*g_2(a_i, a_j) = \delta_{ij}\lambda_i^2$ is constant.

With respect to the frames $\{e_i\}$ and $\{e_\alpha\}$ given in (6), (7), we have

$$2\sum_{\alpha<\beta, i<j} \Omega_{\alpha\beta ij}\hat{R}^\alpha_{\beta ij} = 2\sum_{\alpha,\beta,k,i<j} \lambda_{i\alpha}\lambda_{j\beta}(h^\alpha_{ik}h^\beta_{jk} - h^\beta_{ik}h^\alpha_{jk})\cosh\theta. \tag{8}$$

We denote by R_1 and R_2 the curvature tensors of Σ_1 and Σ_2. Since $\bar{R}^\alpha_{kik} = \sum_\beta \bar{R}_{\beta kik}\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} =$

$-\bar{R}_{\alpha kik}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
-\bar{R}^{\alpha}_{kik} &= \bar{R}(e_\alpha, e_k, e_i, e_k) = \\
&= R_1(\pi_1(e_\alpha), \pi_1(e_k), \pi_1(e_i), \pi_1(e_k)) - R_2(\pi_2(e_\alpha), \pi_2(e_k), \pi_2(e_i), \pi_2(e_k)) \\
&= \frac{\left\{\sum_l \lambda_{l\alpha} R_1(a_l, a_k, a_i, a_k) - \sum_{\beta,\gamma,\delta} \lambda_{k\beta}\lambda_{i\gamma}\lambda_{k\delta} R_2(a_\alpha, a_\beta, a_\gamma, a_\delta)\right\}}{\sqrt{(1-\sum_j \lambda_{j\alpha}^2)(1-\lambda_i^2)(1-\lambda_k^2)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider for $i \neq j$ the two-planes $P_{ij} = span\{a_i, a_j\}$, $P'_{ij} = span\{a_{m+i}, a_{m+j}\}$. Since $\lambda_{i\alpha}$ is diagonal, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{\alpha,i,k} \Omega_{\alpha i} \bar{R}^{\alpha}_{kik} = \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \tfrac{\cosh\theta\, \lambda_i^2}{(1-\lambda_i^2)(1-\lambda_j^2)} \left(R_1(a_i, a_j, a_i, a_j) - \lambda_j^2 R_2(a_{m+i}, a_{m+j}, a_{m+i}, a_{m+j})\right). \\
&= \cosh\theta \sum_{i\neq j} \left(\tfrac{\lambda_i^2}{(1-\lambda_i^2)} K_1(P_{ij}) + \tfrac{\lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2}{(1-\lambda_i^2)(1-\lambda_j^2)}[K_1(P_{ij}) - K_2(P'_{ij})]\right) \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (8) and (9) into (5), and using the fact that the star operator is parallel, we at last arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\cosh\theta = \cosh\theta \Big\{ &||B||^2 + 2\sum_{k,i<j} \lambda_i\lambda_j h^{m+i}_{ik} h^{m+j}_{jk} - 2\sum_{k,i<j} \lambda_i\lambda_j h^{m+j}_{ik} h^{m+i}_{jk} \\
&+ \sum_i \left(\tfrac{\lambda_i^2}{(1-\lambda_i^2)} \mathrm{Ricci}_1(a_i, a_i) + \sum_{j\neq i} \tfrac{\lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2}{(1-\lambda_i^2)(1-\lambda_j^2)}[K_1(P_{ij}) - K_2(P'_{ij})]\right)\Big\} \\
&+ \sum_{\alpha,i} \Omega_{\alpha i} H^{\alpha}_{,i}, \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

3 Proof of main results

It is convenient to recall lemma 3.1 in [1], which is also valid in our setting.

Lemma 5 ([1], for $n = 2$, $m = 3$)**.** *Let M be a spacelike m-dimensional submanifold immersed into $N = \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$. Then Σ_1 is necessarily complete if M is complete. In this case $\pi_{1|_M} : M \to \Sigma_1$ is a covering map.*

We also recall the well-known Omori-Cheng-Yau maximum principle:

Proposition 6 ([6]). *Let u be a function bounded from above on a complete manifold M with Ricci curvature bounded from below. Then for any fixed $p_0 \in M$, there exists a sequence of points $\{p_k\} \subset M$, such that $u(p_0) \le u(p_k)$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} u(p_k) = \sup_M u$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} |\nabla u(p_k)| = 0$, and $\lim_{k\to\infty} \Delta u(p_k) \le 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 1. By (4) we have

$$d\cosh\theta(e_k) = \sum_{i\alpha} \Omega(\pi(e_1),\dots,\pi_1(e_\alpha),\dots,\pi_1(e_m))h^\alpha_{ik} = \cosh\theta \sum_i \lambda_i h^{m+i}_{ik} \quad (11)$$

which implies

$$\frac{|\nabla\cosh\theta|^2}{\cosh^2\theta} = \sum_k (\sum_i \lambda_i h^{m+i}_{ik})^2 = \sum_{i,k} (\lambda_i h^{m+i}_{ik})^2 + 2\sum_{i<j,k} \lambda_i\lambda_j h^{m+i}_{ik} h^{m+j}_{jk}. \quad (12)$$

We shall calculate $\Delta\ln(\cosh\theta) = (\cosh\theta\,\Delta(\cosh\theta) - |\nabla\cosh\theta|^2)\cosh^{-2}\theta$. From (10) and (12), and the assumption H parallel, that is $H^\alpha_{,i} = 0$, we have,

$$\Delta\ln(\cosh\theta) = ||B||^2 - \sum_{i,k} \lambda_i^2 (h^{m+i}_{ik})^2 - 2\sum_{k,i<j} \lambda_i\lambda_j h^{m+j}_{ik} h^{m+i}_{jk} \quad (13)$$

$$+ \sum_i \left(\tfrac{\lambda_i^2}{(1-\lambda_i^2)} \mathrm{Ricci}_1(a_i,a_i) + \sum_{j\neq i} \tfrac{\lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2}{(1-\lambda_i^2)(1-\lambda_j^2)} [K_1(P_{ij}) - K_2(P'_{ij})] \right) \quad (14)$$

First we need to compute the terms on the right hand side of (13). Since F is spacelike, at each point $p \in M$ there exists a positive constant $\delta = \delta(p) \le 1$ such that $\lambda_i^2 \le 1-\delta$ for any $1 \le i \le m$. Thus, $|\lambda_i\lambda_j| \le 1-\delta$ for any i and j. We note that $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i > \min(m,n)$. Therefore, we have

$$||B||^2 \ge \sum_{i,k,j} (h^{m+j}_{ik})^2 = \sum_{i<j,k} [(h^{m+j}_{ik})^2 + (h^{m+i}_{jk})^2] + \sum_{i,k} (h^{m+i}_{ik})^2,$$

where we keep in mind that $h^{m+j}_{ik} = 0$ when $m+j > m+n$ (because it is the only possible meaning). So the terms in (13) satisfy at p

$$\begin{aligned}
& ||B||^2 - \sum_{i,k} \lambda_i^2 (h^{m+i}_{ik})^2 - 2\sum_{k,i<j} \lambda_i\lambda_j h^{m+j}_{ik} h^{m+i}_{jk} \\
\ge\ & \delta||B||^2 + (1-\delta)\left\{ \sum_{i<j,k} \left[(h^{m+j}_{ik})^2 + (h^{m+i}_{jk})^2 \right] + \sum_{i,k} (h^{m+i}_{ik})^2 \right\} \\
& -(1-\delta)\sum_{i,k} (h^{m+i}_{ik})^2 - 2(1-\delta)\sum_{k,i<j} |h^{m+j}_{ik}||h^{m+i}_{jk}| \\
\ge\ & \delta||B||^2. \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

From the curvature assumptions, $(14) \geq 0$. Consequently, we have at each p a differential inequality,

$$\Delta \ln(\cosh\theta) \geq \delta(p)||B||^2 \geq \frac{\delta(p)}{m}||H||^2. \tag{16}$$

Now we prove (i). From (16), $\ln(\cosh\theta)$ is a subharmonic function on a complete Riemannian manifold M, and by (11), $\|\nabla \ln(\cosh\theta)\| \leq C\|df\|\,\|B\|$, where $C > 0$ is a constant. Under the integrability condition of $\|df\|\,\|B\|$, we have integrability of $\|\nabla \ln(\cosh\theta)\|$, and applying Yau's special Stokes' theorem (corollary of §1 [16]) we conclude that $\Delta \ln(\cosh\theta) = 0$. From (16) we obtain $||B||^2 = 0$, and therefore M is totally geodesic. Then all singular values λ_i are constant functions. Moreover if there exists at least one point $p_0 \in \Sigma_1$ such that $\mathrm{Ricci}_1(p_0) > 0$, then we easily obtain from (14) that $\lambda_i = 0$ for any $i = 1, \cdots, m$, and thus f is a constant map, that is, M is a slice.

(ii) Using an o.n. basis E_i that at a given point diagonalizes the Ricci tensor Ricci^M of M, and applying Gauss equation (2), we have for each s

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ricci}^M(E_s,E_s) &= \sum_{j\neq s}\{\bar{R}(E_s,E_j,E_s,E_j) - \sum_{\alpha}(h^\alpha_{ss}h^\alpha_{jj} - h^\alpha_{sj}h^\alpha_{sj})\} \\ &= \sum_{j\neq s}\bar{R}(E_s,E_j,E_s,E_j) + \sum_{\alpha}\left(h^\alpha_{ss} - \frac{1}{2}H^\alpha\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}||H||^2 + \sum_{\alpha,j\neq s}(h^\alpha_{sj})^2, \end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

where all components appearing in this expression are with respect to this frame. Since M has parallel mean curvature, $||H||$ is constant, and so Ricci^M is bounded from below whenever $\sum_j \bar{R}(E_s,E_j,E_s,E_j)$ is so. Using the other frame, we have $\sum_j \bar{R}(E_s,E_j,E_s,E_j) = \sum_{jik} A_{si}A_{sk}R(e_i,e_j,e_k,e_j)$, where $A_{si} = g(E_s,e_i)$, defines an orthogonal matrix. As in section 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j\neq i,k}\bar{R}_{ijkj} &= \sum_{j\neq i,k}\bar{R}(e_i,e_j,e_k,e_j) = \\ &= \sum_{j\neq i}\frac{\left(R_1(a_i,a_j,a_k,a_j) - \lambda_i\lambda_k\lambda_j^2 R_2(a_{m+i},a_{m+j},a_{m+k},a_{m+j})\right)}{\sqrt{(1-\lambda_i^2)(1-\lambda_k^2)(1-\lambda_j^2)}}. \end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

Since K_1 and K_2 are bounded, the same holds for $R_1(a_i,a_j,a_k,a_j)$ and $R_2(a_{m+i}, a_{m+j},a_{m+k},a_{m+j})$. Boundedness of $\cosh\theta$ means there is a positive lower bound δ for all $\delta(p)$, and (18) is bounded. Thus, from Proposition 6, there exists a sequence $\{p_k\} \subset M$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty}\Delta \ln(\cosh\theta)(p_k) \leq 0$. Then by (16) we conclude $H = 0$, because $\|H\|$ is constant. Now we assume for p away from a compact

set K of Σ_1, $K_1(p) - K_2(f(p)) \geq \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is constant. Again by (15) and (14), we have for $p \notin K$,

$$\Delta \ln(\cosh\theta) \geq \delta||B||^2 + \sum_i \Big(\tfrac{\lambda_i^2}{(1-\lambda_i^2)} \mathrm{Ricci}_1(a_i,a_i) + \sum_{j\neq i} \tfrac{\lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2}{(1-\lambda_i^2)(1-\lambda_j^2)}\varepsilon \Big) \geq 0. \quad (19)$$

First we assume $p_k \notin K$ for k large. From (19) $\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_i(p_k)\lambda_j(p_k) = 0$, for $i \neq j$, and so $\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_i(p_k) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. In particular, f cannot have rank greater or equal to two at infinity. If $p_k \in K$ for a subsequence, then $\ln(\cosh\theta)$ attains a maximum at some limit point p_∞ of p_k, and being a subharmonic map (see (16)), by the strong maximum principle $\cosh\theta$ is constant, and so, by (16), $B = 0$, because $\delta(p_\infty) \neq 0$. Consequently all λ_i are constant, and $0 = (19)$ holds for $p \notin K$, what implies $\lambda_i(p) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$. The case $\mathrm{Ricci}_1 \geq \varepsilon$ is similar. □

We observe the boundedness condition on θ is equivalent to the boundedness condition on the Gauss map of Jost and Xin [8] in case $K_1 = K_2 = 0$. Hence, by their Bernstein theorem we have:

Proposition 7 ([8]). *If $\Sigma_1 = \mathbb{R}^m$, $\Sigma_2 = \mathbb{R}^n$, and M is a graphic parallel submanifold with bounded hyperbolic angle, then M is a plane.*

Next we prove proposition 2. We are assuming Σ_1 complete and oriented. Let $\mathscr{O}$ be an open set of Σ_1. The Cheeger constant of $\mathscr{O}$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{h}(\mathscr{O}) = \inf_D \frac{A_1(\partial D)}{V_1(D)},$$

where D ranges over all open submanifolds of $\mathscr{O}$ with compact closure in $\mathscr{O}$ and smooth boundary, and $A_1(\partial D)$ and $V_1(D)$ are respectively, the induced volumes of ∂D and D for the metric g_1. If Σ_1 is closed, we adopt the same definition for $\mathfrak{h}(\Sigma_1)$, that is zero in this case. We fix a point p of Σ_1, and B_s denotes the open geodesic ball at p of radius s.

Lemma 8 ([2]). *If $\mathrm{Ricci}_1 \geq 0$, then for any $r > 0$, $\mathfrak{h}(B_r) \leq \frac{C}{r}$, where $C > 0$ is a constant that does not depend on s. In particular $\mathfrak{h}(\Sigma_1) = 0$.*

Proposition 9 ([10]). *If Σ_2 is one-dimensional and M is a graphic spacelike hypersurface Γ_f, then on a open bounded set D of Σ_1, with smooth boundary*

$$\inf_D \|H\| \leq \frac{1}{m} \frac{b_D}{\sqrt{1-b_D^2}} \frac{A_1(\partial D)}{V_1(D)}, \quad \textit{where} \;\; b_D = \sup_D \|\nabla f\|_1.$$

In particular, if M has constant mean curvature, the Cheeger constant of (Σ_1, g_1) vanish, and the hyperbolic angle is bounded, then M is maximal.

Now, proposition 2 follows directly as an application of previous lemma and the inequality in proposition 9, by taking $D \subset B_r$, and that for $n = 1$, $\cosh\theta = (1 - \|\nabla f\|^2)^{-1/2}$.

We should note that a certain nonnegativeness condition on the curvature of Σ_1 plays a fundamental role in this type of results. If Σ_1 is the m-hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^m$ there are examples of complete entire graphic hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature c, for any c, and with bounded hyperbolic angle, as can be shown by the following proposition. The function $r(x) = \ln\left(\frac{1+|x|}{1-|x|}\right)$ is the distance function in $\mathbb{H}^m$ to 0, for the Poincaré model:

Proposition 10 ([10])**.** *Let c be any constant and $f_c : \mathbb{H}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by:*

$$f_c(x) = \int_0^{r(x)} \frac{\frac{c}{(\sinh r)^{m-1}} \int_0^r (\sinh t)^{m-1} dt}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{c}{(\sinh r)^{m-1}} \int_0^r (\sinh t)^{m-1} dt\right)^2}} dr.$$

Then f_c is smooth on all $\mathbb{H}^m$, and for each $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Gamma_{(f_c)+d} \subset \mathbb{H}^m \times \mathbb{R}$ is a complete spacelike graph of bounded hyperbolic angle, with $|\nabla f_c|_1^2 \leq \frac{c^2}{(m-1)^2}/(1 + \frac{c^2}{(m-1)^2}) < 1$ and constant mean curvature given by $\langle H, \nu\rangle = \frac{c}{m}$, where $\nu = (-\nabla f_c, 1)/\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f_c|_1^2}$ is the unit timelike normal to the graph. Furthermore, $\{\Gamma_{(f_c)+d}(x) : x \in \mathbb{H}^m, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (with c fixed) and $\{\Gamma_{(f_c)+d+c}(x) : x \in \mathbb{H}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (with d fixed) define foliations of $\mathbb{H}^m \times \mathbb{R}$ by hypersurfaces, with the same constant mean curvature c, and with constant mean curvature parameterized by the leaf, respectively.

The constant mean curvature parameter c (or $1/c$) in the second example of the proposition, can be interpreted as a natural "time function" of geometric nature. The existence of such foliations have been considered in general relativity. For $c = 0$ the above examples are slices. In [1] there are several existence theorems and explicit examples of complete maximal graphic surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ that are not slices.

4 Surface case $(m=2)$

Proof of Theorem 3. We calculate

$$\Delta\left(\frac{1}{\cosh\theta}\right) = -\frac{\Delta\cosh\theta}{(\cosh\theta)^2} + \frac{2|\nabla\cosh\theta|^2}{(\cosh\theta)^3}.$$

So, by (10) and (12) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\left(\frac{1}{\cosh\theta}\right) &= -\frac{1}{\cosh\theta}\Big(||B||^2 - 2\sum_{k,i<j}\lambda_i\lambda_j h_{ik}^{m+i}h_{jk}^{m+j} \\ &\quad -2\sum_{k,i<j}\lambda_i\lambda_j h_{ik}^{m+j}h_{jk}^{m+i} - 2\sum_{i,k}\lambda_i^2(h_{ik}^{m+i})^2 \\ &\quad +\sum_{i=1,2}\tfrac{\lambda_i^2}{(1-\lambda_i^2)}K_1 + \tfrac{\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2}{(1-\lambda_1^2)(1-\lambda_2^2)}[K_1 - K_2(a_3,a_4)]\Big). \quad (20)\end{aligned}$$

Since M is maximal and $m=2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&||B||^2 - 2\sum_{k,i<j}\lambda_i\lambda_j h_{ik}^{m+i}h_{jk}^{m+j} - 2\sum_{k,i<j}\lambda_i\lambda_j h_{ik}^{m+j}h_{jk}^{m+i} - 2\sum_{i,k}\lambda_i^2(h_{ik}^{m+i})^2 \\ =\ &||B||^2 - 2\lambda_1\lambda_2\left[h_{11}^{m+1}h_{12}^{m+2} + h_{12}^{m+1}h_{22}^{m+2} + h_{11}^{m+2}h_{12}^{m+1} + h_{12}^{m+2}h_{22}^{m+1}\right] \\ &-2\sum_{i,k}\lambda_i^2(h_{ik}^{m+i})^2 \\ \geq\ &\sum_{i<j,k}[(h_{ik}^{m+j})^2 + (h_{jk}^{m+i})^2] + \sum_{i,k}(h_{ik}^{m+i})^2 - 2\sum_{i,k}\lambda_i^2(h_{ik}^{m+i})^2 \\ \geq\ &\sum_k (h_{1k}^{m+2})^2 + (h_{2k}^{m+1})^2 - (h_{1k}^{m+1})^2 - (h_{2k}^{m+2})^2 \\ =\ &0. \quad (21)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore by assumption on the curvatures, (20) becomes

$$\Delta\left(\frac{1}{\cosh\theta}\right) \leq -\frac{1}{\cosh\theta}\left(\sum_{i=1,2}\tfrac{\lambda_i^2}{(1-\lambda_i^2)}K_1 + \tfrac{\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2}{(1-\lambda_1^2)(1-\lambda_2^2)}[K_1 - K_2(a_3,a_4)]\right) \leq 0. \quad (22)$$

By Gauss equation the Gauss curvature of M is given by

$$K_M = R_{1212} = \bar{R}_{1212} - \sum_\alpha (h_{11}^\alpha h_{22}^\alpha - (h_{12}^\alpha)^2) = \bar{R}_{1212} + \sum_\alpha [(h_{11}^\alpha)^2 + (h_{12}^\alpha)^2],$$

where similarly to (18),

$$\bar{R}_{1212} = \frac{1}{(1-\lambda_1^2)(1-\lambda_2^2)}\left[K_1 - \lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2 K_2(a_3,a_4)\right] \geq 0.$$

Consequently, the Gauss curvature of M is nonnegative, and so M is parabolic, in the sense that any nonnegative superharmonic function on the surface is constant. By (22), $\cosh\theta$ is constant, and the inequalities in (21) are identities. From these identities, we immediately have

$$h_{ij}^{\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \geq 5$$

and

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^{2} (h_{ik}^{2+i})^2 = \sum_{i,k=1}^{2} \lambda_i^2 (h_{ik}^{2+i})^2.$$

Since $\lambda_i < 1$ for $i = 1,2$, the last equality implies $h_{ij}^3 = h_{ij}^4 = 0$. Therefore, M is totally geodesic and λ_i and $\cosh\theta$ are constant. From (22) we conclude that, if at some point $K_1(p) > 0$, then f is constant. Now assume K_1 is identically zero, and $K_2 < 0$ at some point $f(p)$. By (22) $\lambda_1\lambda_2 = 0$. Hence, the rank of f is zero or one, and since $f : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$ is a totally geodesic map, the image of f lies on a geodesic of Σ_2. In case Σ_i are Euclidean spaces, a totally geodesic surface of $\mathbb{R}_n^{2+n}$ is a plane. □

So we have the following corollary of Theorem 3 for $K_2 = -1$:

Corollary 11. *If M is a complete maximal spacelike graph of the pseudo–Riemannian product $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^n$, defined by a map $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^n$, then either f is constant or its image lies on a geodesic of $\mathbb{H}^n$.*

If Σ_2 is complete, there are trivial examples of complete totally geodesic spacelike graphs in $\mathbb{R}^m \times \Sigma_2$, with image of f a non-constant geodesic. Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \Sigma_2$ be an entire geodesic with $\|\gamma'(0)\|^2 < 1$. Then $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \Sigma_2$, given by $f(x_1,\ldots,x_m) = \gamma(x_1)$, is a totally geodesic map with image γ, and the graph of f is a complete totally geodesic spacelike immersion. Note that we are using two facts: geodesics of Σ_2 are just the totally geodesic maps from $\mathbb{R}$ into Σ_2, and totally geodesic graphs are just the graphs of totally geodesic maps (see section 2).

References

[1] A. Albujer and L. Alías, *Calabi-Bernstein results for maximal surfaces in Lorentz product spaces*. J. Geom. Phys. **59** (2009), 620-631.

[2] L. Alías, M. Dajczer and J. Ripoll, *A Bernstein-type theorem for Riemannian manifolds with a Killing field* . Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **31** (2007), 363–373.

[3] L. Alías, A. Romero and M. Sanchez, *Uniqueness of complete spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in generalized Robertson-Walker sapcetimes*. Gen. Relativity Gravitation. **27** (1995), 71–84.

[4] E. Calabi, *Examples of Bernstein problems for some nonlinear equations*. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **15** (1970), 223–230.

[5] S. Cheng and S.T. Yau, *Maximal spacelike hypersurfaces in Lorentz-Minkowski space* Ann. of Math. **104** (1976), 407–419.

[6] S. Cheng and S.T. Yau, *Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), 333–354.

[7] S.S. Chern, *Simple proofs of two theorems on minimal surfaces*. Enseignement Math. II. Sér **15** (1969), 53-61.

[8] J. Jost and Y. Xin, *Some aspects of the global geometry of entire spacelike submanifolds*, Results Math. **40** (2001), 233–245.

[9] I.M.C. Salavessa, *Graphs with parallel mean curvature*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1989), no. 2, 449–458.

[10] I.M.C. Salavessa, *Spacelike graphs with parallel mean curvature*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. **15** (2008), 65–76.

[11] A. Treibergs, *Entire spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in Minkowski space*, Invent. Math. **66** (1982), 39–56.

[12] M. Wang, *On graphic Bernstein type results in higher codimension*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), 265–271.

[13] H. Weyl, *Das asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen (mit einer Anwendung auf die Theorie der Hohlraumstrahlung)*, Math. Ann. **71**(4) (1912), 441–479.

[14] Y. Xin, *On Gauss image of a spacelike hypersurface with constant mean curvature in Minkowski space,* Comm. Math. Helv. **66** (1991), 590–598.

[15] Y. Xin and R. Ye, *Bernstein-type theorems for spacelike surfaces with parallel mean curvature*, J. Reine Angew math. **489** (1997), 189–198.

[16] S-T. Yau, *Some Function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifold and their applications to geometry*, J. Indiana Univ. J. **25** (7) (1976), 659–670.